Send him in .doxc form from now on

Thesis Notes:

* Questions:
  + The version sent was labeled 2/14, where in the thesis process are we?
    - Working towards finishing before spring break
    - Missing Section 4.5 (Discussion)
* Background: → resolved
  + A call with the England team was not added. Should have been in the lit review?
  + Printing on convex surfaces should have had some applicable work to be added.
    - Add into the presentation
  + See: [Questions for 9/18 meeting](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1U9Qqbkam_6ctAVgrwQCYGYKkCnJJAxsb6PeGz4O1Wyg/edit)
* Figures:
  + Had one or two captions that were not on the same page as the figures → Reformat
  + T1 infill testing: much more populated before
    - Why were the error bars not relevant?
      * Make the error bars to show the standard deviation
  + (Tyler) maybe put T(x) reference images in glossary (appendix?)
* Space Station → resolved
  + Too big for the intro?
  + Maybe put in future works
* 3.4 Materials and Equipment:
  + Add in the printer and the main specs under 3.4.1
  + System specs for using slicer library
* 3.5.2:
  + Printer information: limits, working environment factors
* Future Work → resolved
  + Really want to make the connection that our work has value
  + Where can we go from here
  + Space Debris vs Time through 2014 Plot
    - Very busy
    - A bit of a stretch
    - There is space debris but it’s so stretched out that the chance of impact is low
* Wording:
  + FFF → Fused filament fabrication (FFF)
* Add an acknowledgments
  + <https://drum.lib.umd.edu/bitstream/handle/1903/24768/VOLTAGE_Thesis.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
  + This team put it after the title page
* Citation:
  + Organize citations
  + Arduino code in Appendix (paste the code if short enough)
  + Cite GitHub Slicer
* Make sure all equations are plain text

David: (have marked up PDF for reference on these comments)

* In general, there’s a lot of wishy-washiness which is dangerous when defending our thesis
  + There should be logical reasoning behind each decision and it should be explained.
* Text editing comments:
  + Make a statement and then use the reference to back up the statement
    - Don’t use the reference to start a sentence
* Content:
  + The logical progression from the in-state being, then to what is focused on for the project, then to the space station
    - Need to bring this back to why we’re doing this at the hobbyist level
  + Need logical A → B→ C
  + Some explanations need illustrations
  + Nomenclature, make sure to define the variables
  + Need some explanations on tension vs compression rationale
    - Why didn’t we do the ASTM tension and ASTM compression testing but rather use the 3-point bending test for the two sides?
* PLA vs. Nylon → resolved
  + Seems to imply fixing jets with PLA

Send updated draft by EOD Monday as .docx file